6th September 1960]

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY: May I know the exact reason why they have been denied payment of the increment from the date of appointment?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Generally when services are regularized in this manner, arrears of pay are not allowed.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY: Is it a fact that the District Board first of all regularized the services of teachers with probationary rights with retrospective effect and later on under rule 8 (*a*) of the emergency provisions that the benefit was denied to them?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: This was a case in which the Government interfered and gave retrospective effect to services already put in. After a full consideration of the facts of the cases, the Government had come to the conclusion that arrears of pay need not be paid.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN: Is it not a fact that the services of teachers appointed in 1951–52 were regularized only in 1957–58, after a lapse of five or six years?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: That is correct, Sir.

Higher Secondary Schools

* 81 *Q*.—DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether secondary schools to be upgraded as higher secondary schools have been selected for each of the educational districts; and

(*b*) if so, the principles on which the selection has been made?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: (*a*) The matter is under consideration.

(*b*) A statement [a] is placed on the table of the House.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN: May I know whether in the proposals to upgrade these secondary schools to higher secondary schools, region-wise consideration and taluk-wise consideration will prevail upon the Goverment?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Anyhow, region-wise needs would be kept in mind. I do not know whether it would be possible to consider the taluk-wise needs.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN: In the answer supplied, it has been stated that each taluk will have at least one higher secondary school. I wanted to know whether the Government would adhere to this and bring that into force.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: As far as upgrading is concerned, the suitability of the particular school for upgrading should also be taken into consideration. I do not think, simply because one school has to be given for each taluk, we will force any school to take up the course without any facilities.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix I on page 63 infra.

[6th September 1960

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN: May I know whether other things being equal, as far as possible, this taluk-wise consideration will be kept in view?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: That is the idea. "Region-wise" would include the region concerned. I do not think we can lay down any rule that every taluk should have it.

DR. A. CHIDAMBARANATHAN: May I know how many higher secondary schools are proposed to be brought into existence during the next Five-Year Plan period?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: We are now in the Draft Plan stage. We have proposed that 200 schools may be upgraded.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN: May I know whether the Government propose appointing a committee to select these schools?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Not yet. Perhaps we can do it some time later when it is necessary.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY: Do Government envisage a date by which the schools should be upgraded?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: That is the programme and that is the recommendation of the Secondary Education Commission.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY: Is there any future time-limit by which they should be converted into higher secondary schools?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: During the Third Plan period we have provided for 200 schools. I do not think we can visualise the Fourth Plan period and say how many will be upgraded during that period.

Madras Beedi Industrial Premises (Regulation of Conditions of Work) Act, 1958

* 82 *Q.*—SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Madras Beedi Industrial Premises (Regulation of Conditions of Work) Act, 1958 has come into force, if so, the date of enforcement; and

(*b*) the places in which the Act has come into force?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: (*a*) & (*b*) Yes, Sir. 1st July 1959 was notified as the date for coming into force of all the provisions of the Madras Beedi Industrial Premises (Regulation of Conditions of Work) Act, 1958 except sections 10, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 and 1st January 1960 for sections 10, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16. It was also notified that from 1st July 1960, no premises shall be used as a beedi industrial premises in the districts of Madras, Chingleput and North Arcot without a licence obtained under section 3 of the Act. Subsequently, this notification has been extended to other districts also. However, it is not proposed to enforce some of the provisions of the Act till such time as similar legislation is introduced in the neighbouring States of Kerala, Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.